



Additional documents

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Wednesday 22 July 2026 6:00 pm



Table of Contents

PSC2607-3	EAST STREET, NO. 39/31, FREMANTLE - CHANGE OF USE TO UNHOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMODATION (ED DA0153/26).....	3
	Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan.....	3
PSC2607-6	ADOPTION OF AMENDED LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES 2.23: REGISTER OF SIGNIFICANT TREES AND VEGETATION AREAS AND 2.26: TREE RETENTION.....	4
	Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan.....	4
	Proposed Deferral Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan.....	7
SGSC2607-1	RESPONSE TO PETITION - PEDESTRIAN CROSSING AT HIGH ST AND AMHERST ST	8
	Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan	8
	Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Melanie Clark.....	10
SGSC2607-5	RESPIRE CENTRE PROPOSAL	12
	Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan	12
C2607-1	MEMBER MOTION TO WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION 2026 AGM.....	13
	Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan	13



PSC2607-3 EAST STREET, NO. 39/31, FREMANTLE - CHANGE OF USE TO UNHOSTED SHORT TERM RENTAL ACCOMODATION (ED DA0153/26)

Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan

Council:

REFUSE, under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and Local Planning Scheme No. 4, the Change of Use to Unhosted Short-Term Rental Accommodation at No. 39/31 East Street, Fremantle, as shown on the plans dated 23 April 2026, for the following reasons:

- 1. By virtue of the location of the proposed use within a multiple dwelling (50 apartment) development predominantly occupied by long term residents, and the potential amenity impact upon these residents due to the nature of the use, including temporary visitors to the site and the activities/behaviour of these visitors, as highlighted in submissions on the application, the proposal is considered to have a detrimental impact on the amenity and established character of the Residential zone generally and the multiple dwelling development setting in which it sits, thereby contravening Clause 67 (2) (n) & (m) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* and the policy objectives of Local Planning Policy 2.27 Unhosted Short Term Rental Accommodation.**

Reasons for alternative motion:

Due to the significant level of objection from permanent residents of the apartment complex, notwithstanding that the Strata Manager provided a letter of support in compliance with 8.1 of the policy.



**PSC2607-6 ADOPTION OF AMENDED LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES 2.23:
REGISTER OF SIGNIFICANT TREES AND VEGETATION AREAS
AND 2.26: TREE RETENTION**

Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan

Amend the Committee's Recommendation as follows:

- 1. Receives submissions made on Local Planning Policies 2.23 and 2.26, provided in Attachment 1.**
- 2. Defer part 2 of the officers recommendation to the next appropriate Planning Services Committee meeting, to further review the trees recommended for removal, expand the tree retention policy to apply to all trees and species in the City of Fremantle, and review the scope of the policy.**
- 3. Adopt amended Local Planning Policy 2.23 – Register of Significant Trees and Vegetation Areas, as provided in Attachment 3 (*as amended*), in accordance with Schedule 2, clause 5 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*.**
- 4. Remove the following trees from the Register of Significant Trees and Vegetation Areas:**
 - a) 19-06 Rose Gum (*Eucalyptus grandis*) at 7/18 John Street, North Fremantle**
 - b) 19-07 River Red Gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) at 7/18 John Street, North Fremantle**
 - c) 24-01 Lemon-scented Gum (*Corymbia citriodora*) at 6 Douglas Street, Fremantle**
- 5. Supports not adding the following nominations to the Register of Significant Trees and Vegetation Areas:**
 - a) 8 Sumpton Street, Hilton – *Melia azedarach* (Cape Lilac)**
 - b) 54 Hope Street, White Gum Valley – *Eucalyptus maculata* (Spotted Gum)**
 - c) 2 Parmelia Street, South Fremantle - *Eucalyptus grandis* (Flooded Gum)**
 - d) 18 Suffolk Street, Fremantle - *Eucalyptus camadulensis* (Red River Gum)**
- 6. Amend attachment 3 for the purposes of advertising, *LPP 2.23 Register of Significant Trees and Vegetation Areas - for adoption, and as follows:***
 - a. Delete clause 1.1.
~~1.1 Nominations for trees or vegetation areas must be authorised by the owner(s) of the land on which the tree is located at the time of nomination.~~
 - b. Delete clause 1.2:



~~Nominations will be assessed against the following criteria: (a) Healthy specimen with ongoing viability. (b) Species not a weed of national interest. (c) Particular significance based on at least one of the following:~~

~~i. **Botanic / horticultural value**~~

~~Tree(s) or vegetation may:~~

- ~~• be a rare or endangered species;~~
- ~~• be of a significant size or specimen for its species; or~~
- ~~• have special scientific value.~~

~~ii. **Visual / aesthetic / landmark value**~~

~~Tree(s) or vegetation may:~~

- ~~• have significant visual and aesthetic qualities e.g. size/form/shape/ colour/texture; or~~
- ~~• create a significant landmark.~~

~~iii. **Heritage value**~~

~~Tree(s) or vegetation may:~~

- ~~• be of high cultural heritage significance defined against historic, social, spiritual, rarity and representativeness values.~~

~~iv. **Ecological value not otherwise protected through environmental legislation, including Local Planning Policy 2.26: Tree Retention**~~

~~Tree(s) or vegetation may:~~

- ~~• provide significant habitat and/or seed source;~~
- ~~• represent remnant pre-European tree or vegetation;~~
- ~~• have special ecological significance; or~~
- ~~• provide substantial canopy cover.~~

~~v. **The potential of a juvenile tree to become significant by virtue of height at maturity, native food source and rarity**~~

~~Species will only to be considered if endemic to the area, listed in the Urban Forest Plan and a minimum of 6m of height.~~

c. Replace clause 1.1 with:

Nominations will be assessed against the following criteria:

- a) Healthy specimen with ongoing viability;
- b) Species not a weed of national significance; and
- c) Particular significance based on one of the following categories:

Category 1: Heritage value - Tree(s) or vegetation which are of cultural heritage significance defined against historic, social, spiritual, rarity or representativeness values.



Category 2: Botanic/Aesthetic/Ecological value - Tree(s) or vegetation not otherwise protected by the Local Planning Policy 2.26: Tree Retention and:

- be a rare or endangered species; or
- be of a significant size or specimen for its species; or
- have special scientific value; or
- have significant visual and aesthetic qualities e.g. size/form/shape/colour/texture; or
- create a significant landmark; or
- provide significant habitat and/or seed source; or
- represent remnant pre-European tree or vegetation; or
- have special ecological significance; or
- provide substantial canopy cover.

d. Renumber all policy clauses.

Reasons for amendment:

To be provided at the meeting.



**PSC2607-6 ADOPTION OF AMENDED LOCAL PLANNING POLICIES 2.23:
REGISTER OF SIGNIFICANT TREES AND VEGETATION AREAS
AND 2.26: TREE RETENTION**

Proposed Deferral Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan

Council DEFER this item back to administration for a decision at the next appropriate Planning Services Committee, to provide officers sufficient time to conduct a thorough review of the policy, and so a new draft can be brought back.

Reasons for deferral:

To provide officers sufficient time to conduct a thorough review of the policy, and so a new draft can be brought back.



SGSC2607-1 RESPONSE TO PETITION - PEDESTRIAN CROSSING AT HIGH ST AND AMHERST ST

Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan

To amend the Officer's Recommendation, to read as follows:

Council:

- 1. Acknowledge the petition to request the installation of a safe halfway point for those wanting to cross the road at High and Amherst St, Fremantle.**
- 2. Note the assessment of the crossing points in this area of High Street and support that the following proposals be prioritised and added to the forward planning schedule for consideration as part of the annual budget process:**
 - a. Improvements to enhance safety at the existing guarded crossing across High Street in the vicinity of Chalmers Street.**
 - b. Improvements to minimise the potential for illegal vehicle movements at the Amherst Street/High Street intersection.**
- ~~**3. Request the Chief Executive Officer re-assess the potential for further pedestrian modifications in the vicinity of the Amhurst Street / High Street intersection (including a potential safe refuge area) following a period of monitoring and engagement with MRWA, and**~~
 - ~~**a. Provide an update report to Council by March 2027, when traffic conditions on High Street normalise (following completion of the Traffic Bridge construction works).**~~
- 3. Note that the lead petition organiser will be advised of the outcome.**
- 4. *Consider any improvement works to align to the forthcoming Bike Plan.***
- 5. *Request the Chief Executive Officer develop a project proposal for consideration as part of the annual budget process to conduct a study to assess the potential for High Street between East Street and Stirling Highway to be modified as a two-lane divided road (one lane in each direction similar to Marine Terrace) including consideration of:***
 - a. reconnecting the residential cells located north and south of High Street***
 - b. providing safe pedestrian crossings coinciding with Amherst Street and Chalmers Street***



- c. Amherst Street as a cycle route*
- d. improvement of cycle facilities in High Street*
- e. on-street parking along High Street*
- f. establishing a tree lined boulevard entrance into Fremantle from the East*

Reasons for amendment:

To be provided at the meeting.



SGSC2607-1 RESPONSE TO PETITION - PEDESTRIAN CROSSING AT HIGH ST AND AMHERST ST

Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Melanie Clark

To amend the Officer's Recommendation, to read as follows:

Council:

- 1. Acknowledge the petition to request the installation of a safe halfway point for those wanting to cross the road at High and Amherst St, Fremantle.**
- 2. Request the Chief Executive Officer explore the opportunity, including potential funding, to transform High Street, between East Street and the Stirling Highway roundabout, into an urban boulevard entrance road that provides for:**
 - a. Safer north-south pedestrian movements;**
 - b. A potential bike route aligning with Amherst Street;**
 - c. reduction to one lane in each direction except near the traffic signals and roundabout;**
 - d. potential on-road cycle lanes to extend the existing lanes in High Street;**
 - e. a wide landscaped median similar to that on Marine Terrace and sufficient in width to provide protected turning/holding zones (not turning lanes);**
 - f. reintroduction of full north-south vehicular movements and turning in and out of High Street;**
 - g. re-integration of residential areas north and south of High Street;**
 - h. an obvious lower-speed urban zone immediately on exiting the roundabout into High Street;**
 - i. a road design that is more sympathetic with the other sections of High Street to the west, including as an approach to Monument Hill; and**
 - j. potential for improved parking.**
- 23. Note the assessment *in the report* of the crossing points in this area of High Street and ~~support that defer~~ consideration of the following proposals *so that they can be considered alongside the more comprehensive reconfiguration of High Street noted in (2) above* ~~be prioritised and added to the forward planning schedule for consideration as part of the annual budget process:~~**
 - a. Improvements to enhance safety at the existing guarded crossing across High Street in the vicinity of Chalmers Street.**
 - b. Improvements to minimise the potential for illegal vehicle movements at the Amherst Street/High Street intersection.**
- 34. Request the Chief Executive Officer ~~re-assess the potential for further pedestrian modifications in the vicinity of the Amhurst Amherst Street /~~**



~~High Street intersection (including a potential safe refuge area) following a period of monitoring and engagement with MRWA, and~~

~~a. P~~provide an update report to Council by March 2027, when traffic conditions on High Street normalise (following completion of the Traffic Bridge construction works) and *following a period of monitoring and engagement with MRWA.*

45. Note that the lead petition organiser will be advised of the outcome.

56. *Consider any improvement works to align to the forthcoming Bike Plan.*

Reasons for amendment:

To take a greater view of the area to improve it for all users.



SGSC2607-5 RESPITE CENTRE PROPOSAL

Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan

To amend the Officer's Recommendation, to read as follows:

Council:

- 1. Approves the City of Fremantle working exclusively with St Patrick's Community Support Centre to develop and progress the proposed respite accommodation service at 14 Parry Street, Fremantle, including;**
 - a. undertaking community engagement (*including local and city-wide stakeholders*), noting a report will be brought back to Council for consideration; and**
 - b. further develop the concept to mitigate impacts on adjoining residents and community activities as far as reasonably possible and enhance public accessibility and safety to the park and soccer pitch area; and***
 - c. pursuing operating and capital funding for the period up to 30 June 2027.***

Reasons for amendment:

As part of the concept development phase for the Respite Centre, the City investigates ways to integrate it with the surrounding street, laneway and park, including opportunities that make access to the complex more discrete, that help mitigate impacts on surrounding residents and improve the surrounding public realm to enhance public accessibility and safety especially in the park and laneway.



**C2607-1 MEMBER MOTION TO WESTERN AUSTRALIAN LOCAL
GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION 2026 AGM**

Proposed Amendment by Cr Andrew Sullivan

To amend the Officer's Recommendation, to read as follows:

Council endorse the following motion being forwarded to the 2026 Western Australian Local Government Association Annual General Meeting, seeking support to;

"Advocate to the Federal Government for the Australian Building Codes Board to commence a comprehensive review of the National Construction Code to develop and implement adaptive reuse provisions that facilitate the safe, sustainable, and economically viable conversion of underutilised commercial buildings into residential **housing-uses".**

Reasons for amendment:

Council want to see a high degree of flexibility to be able to incorporate a residential component into structures built to comply with construction codes for commercial buildings.