



Additional documents

Ordinary Meeting of Council

Wednesday 23 September 2026 6:00 pm



Table of Contents

**PSC2609-11 SOUTH TERRACE, NO. 8/342 (STRATA LOT 8), SOUTH
FREMANTLE - CHANGE OF USE TO UNHOSTED SHORT-TERM
RENTAL ACCOMMODATION - (LG DA0212/26) 3**
Proposed Amendment by Cr Melanie Clark 3

**C2609-9 NOTICE OF MOTION - NORTH FREMANTLE URBAN PRECINCT
COASTAL FORESHORE RESERVE - CR ANDREW SULLIVAN ...4**
Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan 4



**PSC2609-11 SOUTH TERRACE, NO. 8/342 (STRATA LOT 8), SOUTH
FREMANTLE - CHANGE OF USE TO UNHOSTED SHORT-TERM
RENTAL ACCOMMODATION - (LG DA0212/26)**

Proposed Amendment by Cr Melanie Clark

Amend Condition 2 of the Committee's Recommendation, to read as follows:

- 2. Notwithstanding condition 1, this approval allows the Unhosted short-term rental accommodation hereby approved to be operated by the current Landowner (listed in Confidential Attachment 4) of 8/342 South Terrace, Fremantle for a period of 24 12 months from the date of this approval only. If the current Landowner ceases to be the landowner of the site, or the temporary 24 12 month period from the date of this approval is reached, the Unhosted short-term rental accommodation use here approved will expire**

Reasons for amendment:

As per our Policy, development approval may be limited to a period of 12 months where Council deems it appropriate to properly assess the impact on neighbours and amenity.



**C2609-9 NOTICE OF MOTION – NORTH FREMANTLE URBAN
PRECINCT COASTAL FORESHORE RESERVE – CR ANDREW
SULLIVAN**

Proposed Alternative Motion by Cr Andrew Sullivan

Council:

- 1. Note the report titled *North Fremantle Urban Precinct – Coastal Foreshore Reserve*, authored by Cr Andrew Sullivan, and request the City and WAPC consider the matters raised therein, and in furtherance of discussion with key stakeholders that seek to underpin the success and integration of the North Fremantle Urban Precinct and the Port Beach foreshore MRS reserve and coastal node.**
- 2. Support additional response and feedback to the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage in response to its letter dated 6 July 2026, regarding a request for comment on MRS Amendment, Lifting of Urban Deferment Request - North Fremantle Urban Precinct:**
 - a. It is premature to consider lifting the urban deferment and amending the Local Planning Scheme due to the lack of clarity regarding the adaptation planning method being relied upon by the proponent and/or DPLH when seeking to confirm the coastal foreshore requirements and the proposed lifting of the urban deferment, and specifically the lack of supportive evidence and compliance with process required in consideration of adaptation methods based on 'Protection' works as defined in the State Coastal Planning Policy generally, and Sections 5.5 and 5.7 specifically.**
 - b. If the WAPC's assessment of the adequacy of the coastal foreshore reserve is reliant on an adaptation methodology involving 'Protection' works that prior to lifting the urban deferment the WAPC demonstrate compliance with SPP2.6 by releasing information and invite comment on the following:**
 - i. An assessment that demonstrates that all other options for avoiding and adapting to coastal hazards have been fully explored, as part of a comprehensive coastal hazard risk management process:**
 - ii. the required configuration and location of the proposed 'protection' works;**
 - iii. demonstration that the 'protection' works will adequately protect the foreshore reserve and will maintain the community values of the coast, including retention of long lengths of wide sandy beaches, across**



the whole planning timeframe and consistent with the key directions of the *Future of Fremantle* vision;

- iv. an assessment that models and evaluates the impact of the works at a sediment cell level and, if necessary, the detail and evaluation of any required mitigation works in other locations within the cell; and,**
 - v. the identity of the entity/entities responsible for the construction of the works and the ongoing maintenance of them.**
- c. Request the WAPC to demonstrate that the coastal foreshore reserve in the MRS will include sufficient overall area and setback width to accommodate the components required by SPP2.6 including stable dunes; recreational assets and access that meets the long-term demands of the regional community; and, consistency with the *Future of Fremantle* vision including the extension of Leighton Beach Boulevard as a beach access and parking road through to Tydeman Road.**

Reasons for alternative motion:

The introductory wording of part 2 of the NoM printed in the agenda has changed the intent of the original NoM. The intent was for Council to support the additional response points, not just note them. Also, on review, most of Part 2b(iii) is separate from the issues covered in 2 and so should be separated into a Part 2c.